



**Block Course Feedback**  
**HS23**

## **Disclaimer**

The following evaluation has no claim on completeness or correctness. All comments are without guarantee and are solely based on the voluntary contributions by students in the fall semester 2023. Courses which were not evaluated are therefore not listed.

The evaluation represents neither the opinion of the VeBiS/BiUZ nor the opinion of all participants of the respective courses. Additionally, block courses are adapted and improved from year to year, leading to changes in content and organisation.

The written comments were copied without any changes from the conducted feedback survey. To guarantee the anonymity of the participants, we have removed any comments which could lead to identification of participants. Any comments which were potentially hurtful and without any constructive feedback were also removed.

We are always looking to improve the block course evaluation and are happy about all feedback! If you have any comments or ideas for improvement, please contact us under [studentisches@vebis.ch](mailto:studentisches@vebis.ch) or [dienstleistungen@biuz.ch](mailto:dienstleistungen@biuz.ch).

## Contents

Disclaimer .....	2
551-0336-00L Methods in Cellular Biochemistry .....	5
551-0337-00L Cell Biology of the Nucleus .....	7
551-0345-00L Mechanisms of Bacterial Pathogenesis .....	9
551-0351-00L Membrane Biology .....	12
551-0352-00L Introduction to Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomics .....	14
551-0355-00L Phytopathology .....	16
551-0359-00L Plant Biochemistry .....	18
551-0361-00L Biologie der Moose und Farne .....	20
551-0370-00L Einführung in die Ökologie .....	22
551-0421-00L Biologie und Ökologie der Pilze im Wald .....	24
551-1119-00L Microbial Community Genomics .....	26
551-1129-00L Understanding and Engineering Microbial Metabolism .....	28
551-1147-00L Bioactive Natural Products from Bacteria .....	30
551-1149-00L Pharmaceutical Discovery from Microbial Communities .....	32
551-1201-00L Computational Methods in Genome and Sequence Analysis .....	34
551-1309-00L RNA-Biology .....	36
551-1415-00L Image-Based Drug Screening in Human Blood for Personalized Medicine .....	38
551-1421-00L The Mechanisms of Natural Transformation in Competent Gram-Negative Bacteria .....	40
551-1511-00L Parallels Between Tissue Repair and Cancer .....	42
551-1515-00L Insulin Signaling .....	44
551-1525-00L Cancer Progression: Mechanisms, Targets and Therapeutic Approaches .....	46
701-2437-01L/BIO 309 Aquatische Ökologie/Aquatic Ecology .....	48
752-4020-00L Expt. Lebensmittelmikrobiologie für Biologen .....	50
BIO 204 Applied Human Evolution .....	52
BIO 208 Current Debates in Evolutionary Biology and Human Evolution .....	54
BIO 210 Human Behavioural Ecology and Cultural Evolution .....	56
BIO 227 Biogeography and Biodiversity .....	58
BIO 230 Cancer Stem/Propagating Cells and their Microenvironment .....	60
BIO 250 Drug efficacy and pathway assessment in pediatric brain cancer models .....	62
BIO 253 Research cycle in genomics .....	64
<del>BIO 264 Paleobiology and Evolution of Invertebrates .....</del>	<del>66</del>
BIO 267 Paleobiology and Evolution of Vertebrates .....	69
BIO 299 Parasites - from genes to systems .....	71
BIO 314 Plant Epigenetics .....	73
BIO 321 Modern Microscopy in Life Science Research .....	75
BIO 323 Modern Genetics and Genomics .....	77
BIO 325 Systems dynamics in cell and developmental biology .....	79
BIO 372 Virology: Methods in Molecular Biology, Pathogenesis, and Control of Human Viruses .....	81
BIO 387 Sociobiology in Animals .....	83
BIO 392 Bioinformatics of Molecular Sequence Variations .....	85
BIO 399 Fairness in Drug Development: A Role of Researchers .....	87
BIO 409 Veterinary Medicine: comparative morphology and pathophysiology .....	89
BIO 434 Electrophysiological Recording Techniques .....	91
BIO 440 Evolutionary Medicine: Morphological changes and pathologies .....	93
BIO 445 Quantitative Life Sciences: from Infectious Diseases to Ecosystems .....	95
BME 303 Diseases of Autonomous Systems .....	97

BME 308 Human Molecular Genetics .....	99
BME 319 Prospects of molecular diagnostics in pediatrics .....	101
BME 323 Brain Disorders .....	103
BME 334 Applied statistics for biomedicine and biology: advanced linear models .....	105
BME 346 Tissue Engineering of the Skin .....	107
BME 349 Immune disorders and assessment .....	109
BME 358 Animal disease models in modern biomedical research .....	111
BME 365 Cellular Modelling of Neuropsychiatry .....	113
EEE 312 Taxonomy and Species Identification of Invertebrates .....	115

# 551-0336-00L Methods in Cellular Biochemistry

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab work Class participation
--------------------------------------	---

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	4
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

# 551-0337-00L Cell Biology of the Nucleus

7 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg, University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	8:00/9:00 - 16:00/17:00/18:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3, 2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none, 5 - 10 h, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

Well organized block course with interesting projects. Sometimes long days.

The Lecture were boring and the days were exhausting. Day started at 8:30 on average

Good organisation, we know from the beginning what comes later

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Lab work Class participation
--------------------------------------	---

### Comments

50% practical work, 30% presentation, 20% exam

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

- very diverse: wet lab, cryostat, immunofluorescence, live imaging, analysing data with RStudio
- 5 different supervisors: with each we did different types of experiments
- time included in the schedule to study for the written exam and work on the presentation, did not have to do much at home

Lot to invest in the Blockkurs but big output (you learn a lot)



# 551-0345-00L Mechanisms of Bacterial Pathogenesis

9 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - H�nggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	10:00/8:00/9:00 - 16:00/17:00/18:00/earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work after the corresponding block course weeks</b> (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h, 5 - 10 h

## Comments

It really depends on who you get as your assistant, but in general, i hear no complains

The Hardt Lab works with mice, it was very interesting and you get used to it quickly. If you wouldn't want to work with mice, you can tell them and you can do other things in the meantime. Additionally, the amount of exposure (going to mouse house, dissecting them, etc.) to the mice varied very strongly between groups.

every group of three had there personal assistant (a post-doc). each group worked on a research question the assistant really works on at the moment. this meant that in the lab there was literally noone better informed and invested in your project. Obviously the post-docs were all very invested and passionate about their research and on us (trying) to work on it. That meant that the data we (students) obtained during the wetlab really was used for further researche by our assistants.

Structure and work is very dependant on the supervisor of each group.

The block course was organized quite well. Your experience will depend a bit on your supervisor. There were five postdocs who worked with three students each.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3.67
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

### Comments

The Lab seems distant and reserved at first, but if you just start talking to them and break the ice, they turn out to be very nice!

At least in the beginning of the course, we couldn't really work independently because we had to be introduced into literally everything we had to do (why are we doing it, how we do it, how the tools for it have to be used, ...) this felt really necessary. The further we carried on the more independently we could work, but we could always ask for help or a second opinion since we tried to obtain data that could really be trusted and used for further research.

Our supervisor often showed us techniques or an example on one sample and then left us to do our own stuff most of the time. I thought this was quite cool, because it was more similar to actually doing work, but you have to enjoy this style of teaching. Again, experiences can vary depending on the supervisor.

### Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Report Lab work Class participation
--------------------------------------	---

### Comments

33% open book exam (easy), 33% presentation and 33% report (which in itself is 50% participation)

the presentation (we presented our obtained data and what we did in the lab as a group) and the written exam (4 separate questions each on one of the 4 presentations from the assistants) each were weighted as a 1/3 of the grade. the last 1/3 was (at least for our group since it's a grade given by the assistants) composed of the report and our performance in the wetlab.

Report was graded before corrections were done, corrections did not have an impact on the grade. Exam was open book one question per lecture and took 30 minutes.

1/3 open book written exam on four lectures, 1/3 presentation in your research group about your project, 1/3 individual written report (in reality half of this is your in-lab performance, but you only find out almost at the end of the course). The report has to be revised and resubmitted, but the grade is based on the original submission. You are provided with a very detailed sheet regarding the grading of the report.

### Total Impression

<b>"The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate."</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
---	---

<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

if you are considering taking microbiology as a master course, i would definitely take this course!

Each participant was randomly assigned to a group of three, which again, was randomly assigned to a PostDoc. They all research pathogenesis of salmonella, but in vastly different flavours (e.g. SPI-2 type 3 secretion systems, colonization resistance, quorum sensing etc etc) so the experience is quite different between groups. I really liked my project and my supervisor was pretty cool, so I would recommend it for sure

Best block course so far. absolutely loved it. Will maybe even try to do my semester project there (Hardt Lab)

Before each seminar, the blockcourse students got to chat with the guest lecturer invited. This was a good chance to gain some insights into life in academia and different career paths.

As said before, your experience will strongly depend on your supervisor and how compatible you are. We did actual work for a real research project which was very cool. Also, be aware that most projects in this block course contain work with mice. I really enjoyed my time in this block course looking back on it. The main negative for me was that there was no fixed schedule. Sometimes we started at 8:30, sometimes at 10:00. A few times we were only done by 19:00, but you don't really know these things in advance, so it is hard to plan your remaining time. However, the supervisors were very understanding if you had other commitments and couldn't stay until e.g. 19:00.

Super great block course, would definitely recommend it

# 551-0351-00L Membrane Biology

2 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg, University of Zürich - Irchel, PSI (Paul-Scherrer-Institute)
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00/18:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	2
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

- time invested after the official duration was to study for the presentation, finish up the power point

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab work
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

	Poster Lab journal
--	-----------------------

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	4
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

- sometimes a bit confusing which experiment we were working on, as we did not have a schedule nor a script
- interesting topics of research, still lots of unknown facts even to the supervisors
- lots of microscopy
- lots, almost the whole of last week, to work on the poster presentation; in general lots of time to work on the presentations - perhaps not enough experiments?

# 551-0352-00L Introduction to Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomics

4 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Höggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00/17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li> <li>• Lectures</li> <li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li> </ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2.67
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, Changing group sizes during the course
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3.67
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

Half of the course consists of data analysis and around 4 days of wet lab

In the wet lab we worked in groups of two, but bioinformatic, report and presentation was individual work

This blockcourse is very theory heavy. More than half the practical work is dry lab and 2/3 of the time consisted of lectures and presentations

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

## Comments

The supervisor was very patient and helped if we had problems with the lecture or informatics

The senior scientist was super passionate about the work and really got the group engaged and motivated. The amount of effort he put into making the blockcourse a smooth sail was highly valued and appreciated.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Class participation
--------------------------------------	---

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

if you liked the lectures about mass spectrometry in bioanalytics in the second year, this is a great course for you. If you don't really know what to choose, I would opt for this one, since most fields in biology will have to use a MS at some point, it's good to have gone through the process from start to finish at least once and the main organizer, Ludovic, is great and really loves what he does. But if MS doesn't speak to you and you want a more practical blockcourse, I would choose a different one!

Mainly, you just listen to mass spectrometry techniques during lectures. I did not understand a lot because it feels like a bombardment of information but people interested in Bioanalytics I would strongly recommend this course. People who are not that proficient in that field, it is still a very nice course to acquire some skills in excel and with a variety of other programmes.

If you are interested in mass spectrometry or proteomics it is a great start

# 551-0355-00L Phytopathology

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Zentrum
<b>Typical day</b>	8:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3, Individual work
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1.33
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none, 5 - 10 h, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

work load dependant on supervisor of each group

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

## Comments

Really nice atmosphere in the group, very kind and fun people

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab work Lab journal
--------------------------------------	---



	Poster Class participation
--	-------------------------------

### Comments

Each student made a poster which was presented in 5 minutes.

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# 551-0359-00L Plant Biochemistry

5 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Zentrum
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00/18:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 4
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h, 5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab work Lab journal Poster
--------------------------------------	---

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4.5

### Comments

I really liked this blockcourse. We got to spend most of the time in the lab, where we were closely supervised by motivated people. As the groups were small, you could do everything by yourself, ask questions, etc. I learned a lot in these 3 weeks and had a lot of fun.

# 551-0361-00L Biologie der Moose und Farne

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Zentrum
<b>Typical day</b>	08:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Changing group sizes during the course
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

impeccable time management

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Class participation Poster
--------------------------------------	---

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

It's really just that, a course about moss and ferns and their taxonomy. If you like that, you'll feel right at home.

# 551-0370-00L Einführung in die Ökologie

4 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Zentrum, Botanical Garden, Zoo Zürich, Eawag Dübendorf
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00/after than 10:00 - 16:00/earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	less than 8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Excursions</li><li>• Presentations</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	All course participants together, no individual groups, Individual work, Changing group sizes during the course
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h, 0 - 5 h, none

## Comments

Lot of free time for projects

in general a very easy block course

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Poster Short Text
--------------------------------------	--

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.67
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	1.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

- I would recommend this block course depending on what you are looking for: we mainly had presentations from master students or PhDs about their research, and a few lectures about basic principles in ecology. So you mostly get an insight into different research projects but I, personally, would have liked more lectures about ecology itself.
- It is a pretty laid back course, with self-study time on wednesday and friday mornings, and 2-3 hours of lectures in the afternoon.
- We also had different excursions to the Zoo, Botanical Garden and the EAWAG.
- For the presentation, poster and report, we were relatively free to choose any topic in ecology.

# 551-0421-00L Biologie und Ökologie der Pilze im Wald

8 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, WSL (in Birmensdorf)
<b>Typical day</b>	08:00/8:00 - 16:00/17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Excursions</li><li>• Sampling in the forest</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	All course participants together, no individual groups, 2, 3, Changing group sizes during the course
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h, 5 - 10 h, 10+ h

## Comments

Der Kurs begann um 08:16 und ging meistens bis 16:30

Viel Wegzeit :(

Exkursion ins Wallis war ziemlich unnötig

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5



## Comments

Wir waren oft im Wald am Pilze sammeln oder Mykorrhiza-Pilze ausgraben

All of them were really nice.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

Man erwartete, dass sehr viele Pilzarten (lateinische Namen) auswendig gelernt werden

There is time given during the last two weeks to prepare the presentation, to write the report and for studying.

There was an exam in the end of the course about all the lectures. Also we did some experiments in the lab. Each group had to write a report on one of the experiments using the results of all groups. And each person had to prepare a presentation of 8 min about a Fungi (We could choose a topic from a list).

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

## Comments

There were a lot of lectures. What I quite liked is that we went into the forest multiple times to collect our own samples, that we then got to analyse. The lab work consisted mostly of working with a microscope or plating samples on agar plates.

Laborarbeit war Mikroskopieren und Agarplatten auswerten (nichts kompliziertes)

Because the blockcourse is in Birmensdorf and started at 8:15, some had to get up early. Overall it was very cool working outside and at another place than ETH

It's definitely a blockcourse i'll miss, it was very nice. At first the program seemed a bit overwhelming but everything worked out nicely in the end.

The blockcourse gave a nice insight in what they are working on with fungi at WSL. It is research but not just lab, which showed a really nice other part of biology that we mainly saw until now. The course is held in german (Because everyone spoke Swissgerman some parts were in Swiss).

# 551-1119-00L Microbial Community Genomics

5 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.67
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h, 10+ h

## Comments

After the first week, it was mostly working on your own in the groups, so also kind of having your own schedule.

The block course is supervised by two postdocs from the lab who are both very enthusiastic and helpful. The first week was filled with programming tutorials, presentations and some Hands-On sessions on the material we would be working with. The remaining time was completely used for working on the individual projects.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

The assistants are very nice. They are patient with our problems and always encourage us to think and discuss

After the first week we independently worked on our projects and the supervisors were always there to help us. Even though the projects are completely programming based, you have to work in the provided room as the supervisors want a more community working atmosphere. On the last two days, we were allowed to work from home to prepare the presentations. This regulation is absolutely fair imo, but I guess it's good to know in advance as some computational courses allow you to work from home almost completely.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------

## Comments

50% presentation + 50% report

- 1/2 individual presentation about your project ( $\pm$  15 min + 5 min Q&A) -> a bit weird because you have to listen to every presentation twice and the questions will almost only be asked to the first person, but they want to mark you completely individually
- 1/2 written report about project, also individual (max. 10 - 11 pages)

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.33
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4.5

## Comments

I would definitely recommend this course to those who wants to work independently on a bioinformatics project.

It is a cool blockcourse if you're interested in bioinformatics and finding solutions on your own. it is not comparable with the introduction to bioinformatics lecture in year two, as you have enough time to actually look at the things and see what you can do with them. Definitely good to learn application of bioinformatics. The days also did seem far shorter compared to other blockcourses, despite that the working hours are similar, just because you're usually deep in your project and time just passes. It is not necessary to also take the concept course in bioinformatics for this blockcourse as there is a recap at the beginning.

This block course is great for people who want to expand their knowledge in Python and R. Every year the overarching topic is a bit different and the projects are real work, that will help the lab in some way. They presented around 10 projects that they had planned and you could choose very freely or even suggest your own ideas. Some are more biological and some are more technical. The only thing I thought was a bit unfortunate was that you get no insight into their lab environment and their actual work. Also, the professor wasn't involved at all, not even for a single presentation or briefly saying hello etc. which I thought was a bit uncool, because in some way we were doing free work for him.

# 551-1129-00L Understanding and Engineering Microbial Metabolism

4 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hnggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00/18:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li> <li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li> <li>• Lectures</li> <li>• Lab meetings</li> <li>• Group projects</li> <li>• Insights into other research projects</li> <li>• Project/experiment proposal</li> </ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h, 5 - 10 h

## Comments

Was very organized, really appreciated the small groups. That way, you don't have to sit around and wait, which is really annoying. The report is some work, but you get a long deadline and it was quite interesting to investigate and understand your project even better.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

The atmosphere was fantastic - such a friendly lab! We had a great time and were basically always working ourselves, but our supervisor was always around to help.

Everyone in the lab was always available to help you out if needed something.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work Class participation Report 50% Presentation 30% and Lab work 20%
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

50% report, 30% presentation and 20% oral participation and lab work. You will receive enough time to work on presentation and report during course hours

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.67
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

## Comments

This blockcourse was amazing - 10/10 would recommend! Really enjoyed my time there and the projects were really cool! The supervisors were so nice, would definitely go back to that lab!

The lab environment is really welcoming, everyone in there is friendly and make you feel comfortable from day one. There are many occasions to talk to your and the other supervisors, in case you want to know more about academia or going abroad during your masters. All the projects during this year were related to methylotrophic E. coli developed in the lab to produce different compounds with possibly industrial applications.

# 551-1147-00L Bioactive Natural Products from Bacteria

2 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00/18:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	4.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# 551-1149-00L Pharmaceutical Discovery from Microbial Communities

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Lab meetings</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	All course participants together, no individual groups
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------



	Lab work Class participation
--	---------------------------------

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# 551-1201-00L Computational Methods in Genome and Sequence Analysis

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation
--------------------------------------	------------------------------

## Comments

Most of your evaluation depends on the ending project that you will have to present in the final week. There is also a small exam but it's not that difficult.

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

This course is divided in two sections. One is looking at alignment algorithms, such as local and global alignment. Then there is another part where you analyse Next Generations Sequencing results, so you learn what a Fasta file (sequence file), GTF file (genome annotation) are and how to work with them using python packages such as HTSeq (high throughput sequencing), pandas, matplotlib.

# 551-1309-00L RNA-Biology

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg, University of Zürich - Irchel, ETHZ - Zentrum
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00/17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none, 10+ h

## Comments

On the last day (Friday before Christmas Break) is no course.

6 labs participate in this block course and each of them takes 2-5 five students. On the first day, all labs presented their projects and we had to rank our top 4 priorities. Obviously there are some labs that are much more liked than others so you might end up with a low priority. Additionally, there are three paper presentations, an exam and the end presentations that take place at Höngg for everyone and the rest of the time is spent in your hosting lab. Lab hours probably vary greatly from lab to lab.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

I believe there are huge differences within the blockcourse. Each group joins a different lab, so it's hard to generalize the feedback regarding supervision.

Our supervisor was super friendly and everyone in the lab spent a lot of time showing us the techniques we were using in great detail. Even the main professor often stopped by and held some impromptu lecture or just had a chat with us. The work was very little and most of the time you end up just watching the supervisor or your partners. Our project would've probably been full-time work for one person for one week. And we spent almost three weeks on it as a group of four (3 students + 1 supervisor). This will probably also depend strongly on your hosting lab.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Lab work
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

The three parts contribute equally to the final grade. There's a written open book exam on the discussed journals ( in our case three ), a presentation on our lab work and the supervisor graded our lab work.

- 1/3 individual presentation about your project
- 1/3 lab-performance
- 1/3 open book written exam (1h 30 min) about three papers discussed in the first week

## Total Impression

<b>"The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate."</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	1.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

RNA Biology is a very diverse topic. You can chose your research group you want to join, therefore you might work more or less with RNA. I believe retrospectively I didn't learn very much about RNA in the end, because the group I was with focused on RNA localization in the nucleus and it was more about Cell Engineering in the end (which was interesting as well).

Your experience will depend strongly on your lab. I personally didn't learn much during the actual projects in terms of lab work, but the theoretical background was new and very interesting. It was a very chill end of the semester block course as the actual project part of the course was not very challenging and we had a great time with our supervisor.

# 551-1415-00L Image-Based Drug Screening in Human Blood for Personalized Medicine

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Höggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	10:00/after 10:00 - 16:00/earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3, All course participants together, no individual groups, Changing group sizes during the course, 5+
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

The block course contains many presentations held by postdocs and phd that present their work and explain their research topic. That gives the opportunity to see the diversity within a lab and many different aspects. The first week is also more wet lab, then later you do some data analysis in matlab. You need to present a journal on your own and data analysis of your lab session (in groups). This and your interest and attendance forms your grade.

With only 5 people this block course offers a very chilled atmosphere!

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
--	-----

<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Journal Club Class participation Journal Club Presentation
--------------------------------------	--

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.33
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# 551-1421-00L The Mechanisms of Natural Transformation in Competent Gram-Negative Bacteria

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Honggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	10:00/9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work Lab journal Class participation
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section



## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	4.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4.5

## Comments

it's a nice and interesting block course, if you like working in a lab i'd definitely recommend it.

# 551-1511-00L Parallels Between Tissue Repair and Cancer

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Changing group sizes during the course
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

The first 2.5 weeks is nothing else than a Fundamentals in biology practical course. We are allocated to groups of 3. However the work amount is rather for 2 or 1.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	1
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

The assistants are friendly. However, following the protocol all the time doing basic experiments is boring

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Lab work
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

### Comments

50% exam + 50% lab work

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	1
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	2

### Comments

The course is relaxed but you don't gain much from the course. You can also gain the knowledge from the course from the first 2 year studies and the concept course Cell Biology

# 551-1515-00L Insulin Signaling

2 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Honggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	4, 5+
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h, 10+ h

## Comments

The course was very organized, however due to the large groups we were in, only one or two people could work at the same time leading to 3-4 people always waiting around to start their part of the experiment

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation
--------------------------------------	------------------------------

	Lab work Class participation Lab journal
--	--

### Comments

Exam was very difficult at the end (2 hours long with a closed book questions part and a open book data analysis part)

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3.5

### Comments

it felt more like a bio practical with an emphasis on insulin signaling. We had a clear protokoll with specific experiments that we followed that are repeated ever year. We didn't have to write a lab report which was definitely a plus, since we did about 3-6 experiments per day. The people there are very motivated and will answer your questions gladly and will also ask you questions on the experiment you are curretly performing. My advice is to read the script carefully and prepare for each week well cause this will pay off for the exam at the end of the course and to try to make it that everyone does at least one data analysis on their own and not one person the whole time, since this is also exam relevant and important for future projects of yours. the presentation at the endof each week were low key and not something to stress about. the discussions resulting from this were interesting. The journal clubs i found to be a bit of a pain. Look at the pictures carefully and try to understand all the graphs since this is what you mainly will look at and try to explain in the club. The journals are also exam relevant as well as the lectures. the quizzes to start the week off were honestly almost a joke. Really nothing to worry about.

# 551-1525-00L Cancer Progression: Mechanisms, Targets and Therapeutic Approaches

9 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Hönggerberg
<b>Typical day</b>	8:00/9:00 - 16:00/17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li> <li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li> <li>• Journal Club</li> <li>• Lectures</li> <li>• Insights into other research projects</li> <li>• Examining prepared samples</li> <li>• Group projects</li> <li>• Report</li> </ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.8
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h

## Comments

a bit like bio2 praktikum, with a written script of xy experiments u need to do (abarbeiten)

The course is mainly working in the lab. There were only two lectures at the beginning and two at the end. The additional work was mainly to write the Lab Report, which took quite long because there were so many experiments.

Overall, it was organized very well, but one has to keep in mind it not being that great of an achievement because the wetlab only consisted of pre-prepared experiments (results of all of them were already known)

The block course is basically like a Grundlagenpraktikum. The first lab-day was not well organized, but after that it was fine.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
--	-----

<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

### Comments

all the assistants were really competent

Supervisors were PhD students and postdocs from the hosting lab and changed almost every day. Some were more engaged than others, but generally everyone was very nice and helpful.

### Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Report Lab journal Presentation Journal club
--------------------------------------	---

### Comments

the presentation on a paper given to us and the report were about weighted equally for the grade

The grading is split 50/50. In the lab you work in teams of 2 or 3 if the participants are uneven. In the same groups you prepare a journal club presentation (15 min + 5 min Q&A) and write a report about the experiments. Grading takes suuuuper long, two months later we still haven't received our grades.

### Total Impression

<b>"The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate."</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	4
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3.25

### Comments

I personally really liked this course. However the fact that we didn't had any insights into the real research lab (we were on a different floor) somehow bothers me, since I was expecting to see what it really meant working in a cancer research lab. The experiments we've done gave us an overall idea on some of the techniques used in such labs. But wthe only informations on how the work in such a lab really looks and feels like I obtained by personally talking to different assistants. These conversations were really helpful and the assistants were extremely kind and helpful. Werner (the organisator of the course) was really passionate about teaching us I think everybody really profited from this.

The block course is very chill and most days are not very long (15:30 - 16:00). It is not very different from a "Grundlagenpraktikum" and the work is not research oriented at all. I think I've mainly learned some new techniques, but on the more theoretical side I didn't learn that much. The background of the experiments was unfortunately barely discussed.

# 701-2437-01L/BIO 309 Aquatische Ökologie/Aquatic Ecology

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Eawag Dübendorf
<b>Typical day</b>	08:00/8:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li><li>• Excursions</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3, Individual work
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h, 10+ h, 5 - 10 h

## Comments

amazing organization, multiple assistants that are always there, super friendly

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

The assistants are very friendly and competent

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------



### Comments

3 exams and 1 report. The last week of the course is very hard.

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	4
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# 752-4020-00L Expt. Lebensmittelmikrobiologie für Biologen

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	ETHZ - Zentrum, Zoological Museum
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Lab journal
--------------------------------------	---

## Comments

There was a written exam on the lectures which were on the techniques we used and the bacteria/fungi we analyzed during the lab.

Each person had to do a presentation on one of the diseases we analyzed during the course (of abut

5-10 min)

In the end we had to submit our labjournal including a short discussion of each experiment.

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

There is a recommendation that one should have visited the lecture "Lebensmittel-Mikrobiologie (752-4005-00L)" I think it is not necessary to have visited this lecture. None of the participants had visited the lecture and no one had problems in understanding anything because they had lectures during the course on the topics anyways.

Most parts of the course were held in German.

# BIO 204 Applied Human Evolution

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	2
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	1
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h

## Comments

I hope you like personality tests and pseudoscience, as a big part of the course was based on Clifton strengths. Nevertheless, the course was fun and so were the people participating in it.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

The supervisor was nice but could've been more explicit in what exactly he wanted for the final project

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Class participation 2 personal essays
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

The expectations could've been clearer and we weren't provided with any examples

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 208 Current Debates in Evolutionary Biology and Human Evolution

4 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel, Zoological Museum
<b>Typical day</b>	later than 10:00 - earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	less than 8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Discussions and Presentations</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li><li>• Essay writing</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	All course participants together, no individual groups, Individual work, 2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h, 5 - 10 h, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

The course starts late and finishes early, however you will read eight papers per week as well as write a total of three medium-sized essays and prepare a presentation and a discussion primer

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5

## Comments

Every week we had a 1:1 feedback on our essay which was really nice

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Essay Class participation Essays 3 essays
--------------------------------------	--

### Comments

as of the 10th of January, we are still waiting for our grades

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

The course is really theoretical & only consists of paper reading. I think it was a good practice on writing essays but the workload is quite a lot.

If you read the papers for the day and participate in the discussions, you will learn a lot and have a great time :)

# BIO 210 Human Behavioural Ecology and Cultural Evolution

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00/9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	4, 5+
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h, 5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

We had to design our own project in groups of 5. As long as it was in the topic of the course we could independently decide what we want to do but the supervisors helped us if we had any questions.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------



	Class participation Jurnal Club
--	------------------------------------

### Comments

Every day there was a JurnalClub. We discussed a paper. Everyone had to read the paper but each day one group had to present the paper and lead the discussion. (in total each group had to present 2 papers during the course)

Then we had to do a self designed project (in the groups) on cultural evolution and write a report about it and present during the course what we have done so far.

### Total Impression

<b>"The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate."</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	1.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

The course is very chill and really cool. The workload is not too much especially when you are well organized in your group.

This Blockcourse is very chill. The workload is not too much especially if you organize yourselves well in the group.

The course doesn't involve any lab. In ETH we had not really had anything about antropology and these methods used. So it was something completely different I have known until now. It was very interesting.

# BIO 227 Biogeography and Biodiversity

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Botanical Garden
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	1
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	1
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	4
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 230 Cancer Stem/Propagating Cells and their Microenvironment

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University Hospital Balgrist
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

One was able to choose three priorities from 7 different labs.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

May vary between Lab

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 250 Drug efficacy and pathway assessment in pediatric brain cancer models

1 answer

## General

Location(s)	Balgrist
Typical day	08:00 - 17:00
Longest day	10h
Block course composition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

Elements relevant for grading	Presentation Report Lab work
-------------------------------	------------------------------------

	Class participation Lab journal
--	------------------------------------

### Comments

Presentations: 1 lab journal and 1 final presentation, each 45 min in a group of 3

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	2

### Comments

This blockcourse is a lot of work, of course, you learn a lot but still work intensely. The first day is a shock but then you get used to it.

# BIO 253 Research cycle in genomics

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel, Online
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work, 2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

mostly well organized. schedule given on the friday before for each week. sometimes unclear if there was a lecture but apart from that very well organized

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

very kind supervisors, helpful feedback and good atmosphere to ask questions

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Class participation Poster short essay (250 word abstract)
--------------------------------------	--



### Comments

mostly poster+presentation count (60%), 20% on the abstract and 20% on participation. evaluation seemed fair

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

do this course if you enjoy creating your own project mostly independent with the opportunity to ask questions to experienced scientists. it also shows you how to create a project from data. this course does not have any labwork so if you want that this is not the course. the data you use is given to you not made by you. overall a great experience honestly. also runs in parallel on zoom so very good for people who might need that (i.e. when you're sick)

# BIO 267 Paleobiology and Evolution of Vertebrates

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Zentrum
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	less than 8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	1
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	1
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 299 Parasites - from genes to systems

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University Animal Hospital
<b>Typical day</b>	8:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	1
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	2
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	3

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work Poster
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	4
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 314 Plant Epigenetics

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Botanical Garden
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

Benotet wurde:

- Paper Discussion (25%)
- Plakat Gestaltung und Präsentation (25%)
- Prüfung (1h) (50%)

Das Prüfungsergebnis kommt sehr sehr spät (über einen Monat nach der Prüfung)

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Poster
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	4
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

Man arbeitet im Botanischen Garten in insgesamt 3 verschiedenen Forschungsgruppen / Labors. -->  
Man lernt viele Professoren und PhD Studenten kennen.

# BIO 321 Modern Microscopy in Life Science Research

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

Very interesting and relevant information for life science research.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	2
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

Work depends a lot on supervisors but that's not a negative thing as a lot of the laboratory tasks were new.

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Lab work
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section



## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 323 Modern Genetics and Genomics

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	10:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Project/experiment proposal</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

BIO 348 (ETH Couse) mandatory

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab work Poster
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

In the beginning, you choose the project you want to work on. Then you spend 6 weeks working with a Ph.D. student on their project. The grade consists of 2 presentations and a written proposal. There are some excursions too.

# BIO 325 Systems dynamics in cell and developmental biology

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Oral exam Presentation Lab work
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 372 Virology: Methods in Molecular Biology, Pathogenesis, and Control of Human Viruses

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Lab meetings</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h

## Comments

- Lab Report after block course period

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

- Great TAs

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------

	Lab work Class participation Lab journal
--	--

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> <small>1: not accurate, 5: very accurate</small>	5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> <small>1: much less work, 5: much more work</small>	4
<b>The block course was...</b> <small>1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical</small>	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> <small>1: No way!, 5: Definitely!</small>	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 387 Sociobiology in Animals

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Class participation Poster
--------------------------------------	---

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression



<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	4
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 392 Bioinformatics of Molecular Sequence Variations

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	less than 8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation
--------------------------------------	------------------------------

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
---	---

<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	1
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	1
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BIO 399 Fairness in Drug Development: A Role of Researchers

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Position paper</li><li>• case dossier</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	2
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	4
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

Lots of individual working times were present to work on the graded tasks, unfortunately, one often had to come back from home for an hour of lecture

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

Expectations could've been clearer

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Report Position paper
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

## Comments

The separation of individual-group grades did not make much sense as the group grade relied on what was done for individually graded tasks.

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

A great choice if you want to do something different from the usual lab work

# BIO 409 Veterinary Medicine: comparative morphology and pathophysiology

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University Animal Hospital, Tierspital, University of Zürich - Irchel, actually at Tierspital
<b>Typical day</b>	08:00/8:00 - 16:00/17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	All course participants together, no individual groups, 2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h, 0 - 5 h, 10+ h

## Comments

The labs were slightly disorganized and the schedule was modified a few times, but we were told in advance

There is no report to hand in because you only have lectures. So the time to be invested after the lectures is to study for the final exam.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	1
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

Most lecturers were interesting and were happy to answer our questions. Logistically it was slightly complicated though as they often left very quickly during the break/after class.

There were no real supervisors, so my feedback is in regards to the lecturers, who were always open to answer questions.

## Grading

Elements relevant for grading	Written exam
-------------------------------	--------------

## Comments

Make sure to find out as much information about the exam as soon as possible. Concepts were more important than details, but surprises are possible.

You have to attend the lectures, but the presence is not always checked. So the grade is only from the final exam (we were told that no one in 10 years had ever failed, so paying attention and rereading your notes is enough, do not panic tooo much!)

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

The course felt like a throwback to high school biology classes where you need to sit still, listen and hope that your teacher will be entertaining. The course consistent mostly of lectures, and extra work consisted of writing summaries and taking notes. The labs were few but interesting, albeit being slightly superficial

this block course is almost only lectures, nevertheless it is very interesting and I would still recommend taking it. You get a lot insight in many different research fields.

I would recommend the block course depending on what you are looking for: there are only lectures and little practical work. The work days can be exhausting as sometimes you have 4 consecutive 2-hour-lectures with only a lunch break in between (and 15 minutes breaks).

I think that a more detailed breakdown of themes and lectures might be beneficial

# BIO 434 Electrophysiological Recording Techniques

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Changing group sizes during the course, 2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

The first week was quite intense including long days. The weeks after were much more relaxed.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Class participation Homework
--------------------------------------	---



### Comments

You get a homework sheet each week you have to hand in and get back graded.

On the last day (Thursday, Friday is free) you have to present a paper.

Grading was very fair

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	2
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3

### Comments

I learned a lot during this block course but it was by far the most intense course I took. The first full week is quite intense. In groups of two, you are assigned a lab and do some patch clamp experiments. At the end of each day you have a wrap up session, which often were dragged a long and could have held shorter. The weeks after were much more relaxed but consisted also of some coding exercises which is not everyones cup of tea.

As the course takes place in the last quarter of the semester it was quite hard balancing the requirements for the block course and studying for exams.

# BIO 440 Evolutionary Medicine: Morphological changes and pathologies

2 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00/earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	2
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	2.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	2.5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab journal
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	2

## Comments

I just found it super boring to be honest...

# BIO 445 Quantitative Life Sciences: from Infectious Diseases to Ecosystems

2 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Zürich Winkelriedstrasse, Gloriastrasse
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 17:00/18:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h, none

## Comments

slides every for notes, can take some time after 5 if you can't finish it

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	3.5

## Comments

most supervisors were very helpful

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Class participation Poster slide about an exercise of each day
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

just write a slide with a graph and interpret it at each day so no learning at the end

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

### Comments

good course but not much theory about R itself, but very interestin inside of some external people who present each day

# BME 303 Diseases of Autonomous Systems

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	USZ & Schlieren, Schlieren
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00/9:00 - 16:00/17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	10h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Journal Club</li><li>• Insights into other research projects</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3.5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h

## Comments

The Hausmann IBS lab at USZ was well organized and the waiting times made sense and were optimized.

The Schlieren lab (hemolytic diseases) was well structured in theory with a clear plan, but we had to constantly wait for our supervisors for whom we weren't a priority.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	3.5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

Our supervisor was late for 1 hour once and we weren't told in advance

Multiple supervisors, little to no communications between them

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work Class participation
--------------------------------------	---

### Comments

All groups got 5.5 for their presentation after 7 minutes of deliberation. Will change for next year though and each group will be assessed individually. Also, all groups will be expected to ask each other questions

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3.5
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3.5

### Comments

- Schlieren lab involves paying for an extra zone unless you have a GA, the lab however is worth it as it is designed well
- the course is a great opportunity to work on existent research projects and see future opportunities for master research, etc.
- the final symposium where all groups present their research is interesting as well

# BME 308 Human Molecular Genetics

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel, University of Zürich - Schliere
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

can choose between 3 different projects at the IMMIG in schlieren, KISPI and forensic institue

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work Class participation Lab journal
--------------------------------------	--



### Comments

report (5 pages) about the first 1.5 weeks and presentation (30 min) about the second 1.5 weeks ( had 2 different projects at two different institutes)

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

No comments for this section

# BME 319 Prospects of molecular diagnostics in pediatrics

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Balgrist und KISPI
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	2
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report Lab work
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------

## Comments

Grading consisted of 3 presentations and 3 reports. It seems like much but it is not! From Tuesday to Friday, we were there for 2x 2h (presentation and introduction to the new theme) and then 1 day for the lab. The rest was done at home.

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BME 323 Brain Disorders

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	8:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	• Group projects

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	10+ h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Class participation written Proposal
--------------------------------------	---

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	4
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	1
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	2

### Comments

In general, the lectures were very interesting and the lecturers were very motivated. Just be aware that if you take this course, you will have lectures from 8 am to 5 pm on most of the days, which can be very exhausting.

# BME 334 Applied statistics for biomedicine and biology: advanced linear models

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	4
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

- Well organized
- Good information content (Helps to grasp concepts in statistics)

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	5
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	3
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

- Extremely nice and helpful

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation
--------------------------------------	------------------------------

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BME 346 Tissue Engineering of the Skin

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Schlieren
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - earlier than 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	3
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

This year they took 9 students and divided them into groups of 3.

Each group had their own schedule, sometimes they did the same experiments (on different days) and sometimes only one group was working on sth.

Overall it was good organized but depending on who was supervising the experiments, unnecessary waiting times occurred because of poor planning.

Unfortunately, you don't get the instructions beforehand but just before the experiments starts.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	3

## Comments

The experiments went smoothly or took a little longer, depending on who was in charge. But all instructors let you work independently.

## Grading



<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Written exam Presentation Lab work
--------------------------------------	--

### Comments

All parts account to 1/3

At the end of the block course you get an email with the individual grades.

Grading was fair but the lab work assessment was a bit random.

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	1
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

Even with some drawbacks, it was a grade block course.

You can gather a lot of diverse practical experience

# BME 349 Immune disorders and assessment

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Schlieren
<b>Typical day</b>	9:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Group projects</li><li>• Lectures</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	2
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	4
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	5+
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	2
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	1
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Oral exam Presentation Lab journal
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	2
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4

## Comments

No comments for this section

# BME 358 Animal disease models in modern biomedical research

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel
<b>Typical day</b>	09:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	8h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	4
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work, 2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	0 - 5 h

## Comments

8 students were divided into 2 groups. You get a schedule of your group at the beginning of the course. The work was often performed in groups of 2

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	5

## Comments

You get to work at two labs. The supervision was great in both

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Report
--------------------------------------	------------------------

## Comments

They take a long time to get the grades back. Not sure how fair the grading is, yet

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	5

### Comments

Each student gets assigned their own disease. The experiments you do in the lab are partially related to your disease. In the end you have to write a report about this disease and prepare a presentation. They give you time during course hours to do some research for the report, so that you do not have to invest too much time afterwards. However, the report was still a lot of work but you get to learn a lot. The block course was quite unique in teaching some techniques and you get valuable insights in working with animal models.

# BME 365 Cellular Modelling of Neuropsychiatry

1 answer

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	Schlieren
<b>Typical day</b>	8:00 - 16:00
<b>Longest day</b>	9h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	3
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	2, 5+
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	3
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	none

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	3

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Grading

<b>Elements relevant for grading</b>	Presentation Lab work Class participation 2 Journal Clubs
--------------------------------------	--

## Comments

No comments for this section

## Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	4
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	3

## Comments

No comments for this section

# EEE 312 Taxonomy and Species Identification of Invertebrates

3 answers

## General

<b>Location(s)</b>	University of Zürich - Irchel, ETH Zurich Entomological Collection
<b>Typical day</b>	08:00/8:00/9:00 - 17:00
<b>Longest day</b>	11h
<b>Block course composition</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Practical lab work - wet lab (= in the lab, at the bench, observation studies, etc.)</li><li>• Lectures</li><li>• Practical lab work - dry lab (= e.g. computer analysis)</li><li>• Examining prepared samples</li><li>• Excursions</li><li>• Seminar talks</li><li>• Discussion rounds</li><li>• Journal Club</li></ul>

<b>Structure and waiting times</b> 1: little structure, long waiting times, 5: well-structured, no unnecessary waiting times	2.5
<b>Research-orientation</b> 1: not research-oriented, 5: very research-oriented	3.5
<b>Size of project group(s)</b>	Individual work, Changing group sizes during the course, 2
<b>Accuracy of course description</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	3.5
<b>Comprehensiveness with knowledge from bachelor lectures</b> 1: incomprehensive, 5: very comprehensive	5
<b>Additional work</b> after the corresponding block course weeks (e.g. handing in a report)	5 - 10 h, none, 0 - 5 h

## Comments

The overall structure and time allocation was fine but pretty intricate, with some longer waiting times and some time shortage in equal measure. As this was the first time the course was conducted and there was an extensive feedback round, this will surely be much better in the next installment.

## Supervision

<b>Technical quality of supervision</b> 1: not competent, 5: very competent	4
<b>Independence</b> 1: very dependent, 5: very independent	4.5
<b>Atmosphere</b> 1: very uncomfortable, 5: very comfortable	4.5



### Comments

TAs were great and professor was really chill

### Grading

Elements relevant for grading	Presentation Class participation Lab journal Discussion contributions
-------------------------------	--

### Comments

No comments for this section

### Total Impression

<b>“The ratio of invested time to acquired knowledge was proportionate.”</b> 1: not accurate, 5: very accurate	2.5
<b>Compared to other block courses, this course was...</b> 1: much less work, 5: much more work	3
<b>The block course was...</b> 1: too theoretical, 3: just right, 5: too practical	3.5
<b>I would recommend this block course.</b> 1: No way!, 5: Definitely!	4.5

### Comments

No comments for this section